

Paul Baker <paulbaker@utah.gov>

## Courgraph Mine (S/001/0018) - review of proposed Notice amendment

2 messages

Thu, May 26, 2016 at 11:05 AM

Hi Terry,

I've reviewed the Notice of Intention for the Courgraph mine, which you intend to become permittee of via transfer from Todd Truman.

Overall it looks good. I am comfortable adding in information not required by rule, but there are a few things required by rule that we'll need you to add or correct:

- 1) Page 4, 6. Add the SITLA lease number (currently ML-45730)
- 2) Page 4, 8. Pre- and Post-mining land use Wildlife habitat should also be added.
- 3) Page 5, 3. Identify the minimum anticipated amount of material you plan to move, at least from the new area. A rough estimate in tons or cubic yards is appropriate.
- 4) Page 5, 5. Identify a maximum estimated slope height and/or pit depth for your highwall. Indicate that you will be blasting occasionally, as I understand.
- 5) Page 5, 6. The road length (1800 ft) and width (avg. ~40 ft) required for reclamation (from the sharp turn before starting to climb the hill) should be included in the New Road section. (It was new for Todd.) Also refer to the map to show road sections that SITLA says don't need reclamation.

If you prefer, you could authorize me to write in the missing info onto the copy we have. If so, let me know.

Once we receive correct versions of the transfer, reclamation surety, reclamation contract, and this Notice, we'll be ready to at least conditionally approve the Notice and approve the transfer.

We will need SITLA notification that cultural resources have been evaluated, prior to final approval and authorization to disturb the new area.

Thanks. Call if questions.

Peter

--

Peter Brinton
Environmental Scientist/Engineer III
Utah Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
Office Phone: 801-538-5258
Days: M - Th, Every Other Friday

Hours: 8:00am - 5:30pm

Paul Baker <paulbaker@utah.gov>
To: Peter Brinton <peterbrinton@utah.gov>

Tue, May 31, 2016 at 9:55 AM

You may have printed this, but I don't see it. I'm going to print it again and consider it the same as a deficiency